

|   | <b>Overall, do you support the proposal to close Mermaid Court, between Borough High Street and Tennis Street, to motor vehicle traffic? - do you support</b> | <b>What are the benefits of this proposal? - benefits</b>                                | <b>What are the potential drawbacks of the proposal? - Drawbacks</b>   | <b>What can we include in the plan that will make it work better for everyone? - Mitigations</b>  | <b>Do you live in or near Mermaid Court?</b> |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | No  | Limited to the businesses in Mermaid Court who's staff/students congregate in the street | Further isolating the residents within the Newcomon Street/Bowling Green Place/ Long Lane estates since the exit of Newcomon Street to Borough High Street has been blocked. Bowling Green Place and Balin House road exit to Long Lane will become the new 'shortcut' to avoid the St Georges Church intersection. Any changes should take into consideration the area boundried by | Traffic calming measures in Tennis Street/Bowling Green Place/ Mermaid Court to include taking away on-street parking rights. The entire area should be pedestrianised or made access only. | Yes  |
| 2 | No  | Not sure   | Access to the residences in Tennis Street  | No access to lorries and wider vehicles   | Yes  |
| 3 | No  |  |  |   | No   |
| 4 | No  | None for me!   | I live just behind mermaid court. I am a wildlife rescuer and use this to reach my house where I often provide emergency care for animals before transporting to appropriate wildlife sanctuaries. This would increase journey times on routes that have already been lengthened due to new LTNs and prevent care being given.   | Don't do it!  | Yes  |

|   |    |   |   |  |     |
|---|----|---|---|--|-----|
| 5 | No |   | Continually closing backstreets and reducing access means local residents journeys take longer and pollute more.<br><br>We sit in main road traffic when we could be saving on fuel, time and not congesting the roads. | Some form of residents access would be far more beneficial e.g. residents can use some streets but non-residents cannot. | Yes |
| 6 | No | None.   | Preventing access to industrial premises at rear of Mermaid Court.<br><br>Closing the final "original" thoroughfare from BHS - no need.   | Stop playing with traffic!   | Yes |
| 7 | No | Zero. Is a cat is too go through, they won't go at more than 5mph. This is not dangerous to anyone. The council needs to stop wasting time and precious resources in these ridiculous road closure schemes throughout the borough. The mess in the bankside, Southwark Bridge Road area is a fine example of wasted money with zero simulations run before closure. | Wasted time and money. There are 2-5 minute gains to be made by using mermaid court to get through to Long Lane.  | Just leave it alone and focus upon areas which you are disregarding, such as adult social care.                          | Yes |
| 8 | No | You are closing one of 2 ways into Tennis street, Allowing traffic to build up due to closures  | Stopping Residents From parking cars in blocks BOUGHTON house   | Not To close the road  | Yes |

|    |    |  |   |   |     |
|----|----|--|---|---|-----|
| 9  | No |  | Pushing increasing traffic onto roads that are already so clogged that movement is severely curtailed. You cannot expect the disabled and elderly to cycle or force them onto crowded public transport. They have rights too. As you will find out you need as you age. |   | Yes |
| 10 | No | None   | Encourages rat running and displacement traffic through estates<br>No real risk at the moment. If a car is coming it is normally slow as the road is narrow   | Remove it   | Yes |
| 11 | No | None other than keeping the art academy happy.   | Lack of accessibility for cars.<br>Pushing any problems from one area to others that already have problems.<br>Why not close abbey Street to keep me happy? It'll allow for benches and social distancing.<br>Absolute rubbish, keep it open                            | Nothing. Leave it as it is.<br>What is this insane idea of Southwark council to go around closing roads to traffic?<br>I'm not even a driver boy can see this is nonsense             | No  |
| 12 | No | this proposal has absolutely no benefit for me at all, and I do not see why Mermaid court should be closed to cars. I am a disabled person who relies on the use of my car. What you street planner forget as usual are people like me who relies on their cars to get them around. Not all of us can cycle. | We have one road going through borough high street. If there is a problem, what other option other car would have for diversion?  | Absolutely nothing you can tell me will make me change my mind and I intend to fight this.<br><br>None of your features in section 5 will benefit a person with walking difficulties. | Yes |
| 13 | No | I'm not sure if there is benefit. Except for the few businesses who are off the street.  | It doesn't benefit most people, including cyclists since there is already the quiet way just north of Mermaid Court.  | No sure why the street should be closed off to cars.  | No  |

|    |    |   |  |  |     |
|----|----|---|--|--|-----|
| 14 | No | All little roads that do alleviate traffic have. been closed off to us as residents. It now takes me 40 minutes to get from Great Dover Street to Blackfriars Bridge of a morning, this journey used to take 5 minutes. Long may the little back streets that are open to us REMAIN | I live here. I rarely see anyone walking down this street. I smell dreadful wee smells down this street, but really that is all it is. a massive latrine. I think it should remain open to cars and motorbikes and bikes and people. All have rubbed along together well for years, if it aint broke, don't fix it       | leave it open for everyone to use!!<br>Bikes, motorbikes, cars, people.<br>simple. leave it alone                      | Yes |
| 15 | No | I don't actually see any. It's not a busy road that is used by cars very often it should remain open to allow free movement of the 15% of Motor vehicles that do use it.  | Limiting access to roads restricts residents movements who utilise the road to get home. Mermaid court has already been made one way which makes the already safe street safer. Union street has already been made into a cycle route and TfL continue to make roads one way. It is an necessary hinderance to movement. | Leave it as it is or place a time restriction between school run times where the road can't be used.                   | Yes |
| 16 | No |   |  |  | No  |
| 17 | No | There are none you will only increase traffic in the area.  | More traffic and more pollution as cars have to go on a longer route.  | Leave things how they are  | Yes |
| 18 | No | Agree the benefits of more space for pedestrians  | Concerned removing through traffic increases level of crime.<br>There has to be proper anti crime measures   | Make sure it is safe   | Yes |
| 19 | No | None  | Yet another little used but vital "pressure release" escape route will be lost, thereby increasing congestion and pollution even more.   | Leave it as it is and remind The Art Gallery that Mermaid Court is a Public thoroughfare and not their private street. | No  |
| 20 | No | None that i can see.  | Good news for the phone snatchers and muggers  | Leave well alone   | No  |